



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/721,880	11/27/2000	James R. Henshaw	107979	4029
25944 7	590 12/04/2003		EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928			GUADALUPE, YARITZA	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2859	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5 4	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/721,880	HENSHAW ET AL				
,,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Yaritza Guadalupe	2859				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED October 23, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b)						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected:						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	9.☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:						
	Q-					
	10	$\gamma$				
	Diego Gutie	arrez				

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800 Part of Paper No. 12012003

## Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 097721,880

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The removal and addition of features to the claims are considered to be new issues since the claim language now present in the amended claims is different from the claim language in the finally rejected claims. For example, independent claim 1 now requires the scale being spaced from the magnetisable material, which was not considered in the finally rejected claims.